

## Metabolomics Society: Abstract Evaluation Instructions

ALL abstracts must meet the following two criteria:

1. Topic is relevant to the Metabolomics Society conference
2. Abstract does not contain obvious marketing content

Abstracts that have been submitted for an oral presentation or are being judged for an award must also be evaluated using the attached rubric.

### **Notes on Using the Rubric**

- Methodology: The level of methodological detail should be assessed relative to the type of study. A higher level of detail and depth is expected for methodological studies.
- Contribution to Discipline: The discipline is context-dependent and should be defined by the authors. For example, the results of a clinical metabolomics study may contribute to the specific disease discipline, broader clinical metabolomics, and/or even the field of metabolomics.
- Scientific Writing: The abstract structure should follow a logical sequence: Intro, Aim, Methods, Results, Conclusions. When assessing Scientific Writing, consider how well the ideas connect through the abstract. This criterion should also be assessed *relative to language barriers*.

Finally, if an abstract has been submitted for an oral presentation, please consider the following question and click the corresponding checkbox if it applies.

*This abstract is of high general interest or relevance to the Metabolomics Society community as a whole. It should be considered for an oral presentation.*